## Application N Applicant(s) 09/547,699 SCHEER, LYLE Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Wen-Tai Lin 2154 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Wen-Tai Lin. (2) Chui-Kiu Teresa Wong. (4)\_\_\_\_ Date of Interview: 20 August 2003. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: . . Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\times$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner requested clarification of the independent claim (claim 1) wherein the the claim language was amended to include a global master server as being made automatically configurable. The applicant identified page 11, lines 8-10 of the specification as a source of the new limitations. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Examiner's signature, if required